

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,028	-	04/06/2000	STEPHAN BAUER	48436	8779
26474	7590	07/13/2004		EXAM	INER
KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.				JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ			1773	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- A
Advisory Action	09/529,028	BAUER ET AL.	(\mathcal{Y})
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monique R Jackson	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appro originally set in the final C	priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		· —	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>55-72</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Application/Control Number: 09/529,028

Art Unit: 1773

Continuation of Item No. 5. NOTE: The Applicant's declaration and arguments filed 6/25/04 have been considered but are not persuasive. The declaration filed on 6/25/04 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Bronstert et al reference. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Bronstert et al reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897). The Examiner notes that the documents submit provide no evidence of the specifics of the claimed invention such as the claimed layer compositions, the specific weight percentages claimed, the claimed particle size, the claimed molecular weight, etc.

Hence, the Examiner maintains her position with regards to the obviousness rejection over Bronstert et al and also notes that there is an outstanding double patenting rejection over USPN 6,416,905.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on 571-272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,028

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

July 7, 2004